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Executive Summary

# 1. Exec utive Summary 

### 1.1 Purpose

The Downtown Raleigh Allia nce (DRA) commissioned this study of pedestrian activity in key downtown coridors as a means of furthering the downtown'seconomic development goals. The three target areas of the study are: the Fayetteville District, Glenwood South District, and Warehouse District. Through use of the pedestrian count methodology described below, this report establishes a baseline of current pedestrian activity in Raleigh's downtown core and late night/enterta inment districts.

The analysis of current pedestrian a ctivity provided in this report allows both the DRA and the City to better understand pedestrian preferences, evaluate pedestrian infrastructure, and anticipate the future needs, as well as contributions of downtown pedestrians. Economic ally, the count data will support local efforts to attract new and expanded retail, restaurants, and commercial occupants, each of which bears a unique relationship to pedestrian activity in terms of peak periods of activity, location, and visibility.

This pedestrian count also contributes to the broader vision of promoting downtown Raleigh as a regional center of commerce, tourism, and livability. Wa lkable streetsc apes are a comerstone of a thriving downtown and a growing urban economy. With the findings of this report and continued focus on the nature of pedestrian activity in prionty areas, downtown Raleigh can offer compelling evidence of the strength and appeal of its urban core.

### 1.2 Methodology

This study employs a nationally-vetted pedestrian count methodology created by the National Bicycle and Pedestrian Documentation project (NBPD). The forms, metrics, and implementation strategies are derived from this proven national system of recording bike and pedestrian activity.

Within the three prionity distric ts of downtown, the Downtown Raleigh Alliance identified 74 count locations. Each of the 74 locations specifies a specific side of the selected street within a specific block. Counts were taken at a mid-block point, using the "screenline" approach, which essentially ensures that each "body on the sidewalk" that passes through an imaginary straight-line screen is counted. As determined by the national methodologies, this a pproach providesconsistency and relia bility.

Over the course of four weeks during springtime weather, counts were taken on eight weekdays and one Saturday. The counts also varied by specific time of day to capture pedestrian activity throughout a "typical" day in downtown Raleigh. In partic ular, recognizing tendencies of downtown commercial activity, this report foc used on a moming timeframe, the lunchtime period, the aftemoon peak, a nd also late-night activity.



Counters used electronic "count boxes" to record data.


A variety of uses are seen throughout downtown Raleigh's sidewalks.

Trained individuals acting as "c ounters" used electronic counting devicesorstandardized forms and captured pedestrian activity within 15 -minute inc rements. All collected data wasthen aggregated within a spreadsheet for a nalysis.

### 1.3 Findings

Raleigh is an economic hub within the region with a vibrant downtown and expansive suburban ring. The a nalysis of this report reveals that even with substantial suburban growth, a large population is using and navigating the downtown area. The study found that the lunch period attracts that largest a mount of pedestrian activity, as employees, visitors, and residents, presumably, dine out in downtown resta urants a nd cafes. The top five locations with the highest levels of a ctivity included:

- The west side of Fayetteville Street between Hargett Street and Martin Street
- The west side of Fayetteville Street between Martin Street and Davie Street
- The east side of Fayetteville Street between Hargett Street and Martin Street
- The west side of Fayetteville Street between Morgan Street and Hargett Street
- The east side of Fayetteville Street between Martin Street and Davie Street

As the specific location data might suggest, the city block location with the highest average of pedestria ns per hour over the 11-hour count period was the Fayetteville Street block between Hargett and Martin Streets. Additiona lly, the Fa yetteville Street block between Hargett and Martin Streets held the highest counts for the moming and lunch periods. However, during the late night count, the block of Glenwood Avenue between Tucker and North Streets evidenced the highest level of pedestrian activity.

Analysis of the total volume of pedestrians in downtown Raleigh parallel to other cities evidenced the strength of activity on downtown Raleigh's sidewalks. The volume of traffic in the Raleigh study area tendsto exceed the volume of small and even larger cities.

The findings and conclusion of the DRA pedestrian count study show a clear link between the downtown's booming pedestrian environment and opportunities forcommerce in downtown. With this data, the DRA and the City have a solid basisforpromoting future public and private investments in the walkability, livability, and economic prosperity of downtown Raleigh.

### 1.4 Structure

This report consists of the following sections:

- Introduction
- Methodology
- Study Results
- Comparison with Other Downtown Areas
- Appendices


Pavement changes in crosswalks and pedestrian signals inc rease safety along Fayetteville Street.

Introduction

## 2. Introduction

In support of the Downtown Raleigh Alliance's (DRA) economic development efforts, this study was initiated to measure pedestrian activity on sidewalks in the downtown districts of Fayetteville Street, Glenwood South, and the Warehouse District. The study establishes a baseline of current pedestrian activity in the downtown core and late night/entertainment districts. By examining the frequency of use by pedestrians, the DRA and the City will gain a better understanding of potential needs for pedestrian improvements. Economic ally, the count data will support initiatives by the DRA to illustrate to potential retail, restaurant, and commercial occupants that Raleigh possesses signific ant pedestrian traffic during the work week, work evenings, a nd late night time periods.

This pedestrian count aims to provide data a nd support to the DRA's retail recruitment strategy, as well as other ec onomic susta inability initia tives currently in place to promote the downtown area as a regional center of commerce, to urism, and liva bility. As part of the DRA's vision to be recognized as the leader and champion for a vibrant and dynamic downtown, this study, and any other pedestrian studies conducted in the future, will provide a foundation forgrowth models and a baseline to measure the effectiveness of future public and private investments in infrastructure, amenities, and programs to make downtown Raleigh a more walkable and livable place.

### 2.1 Context

The economic susta inability of any downtown area requires an appropriate balance of people and services. Situated within the sixth fastest growth state of North Carolina ${ }^{1}$, Raleigh, through efforts of the DRA, the City of Raleigh, and other organizations including the Chamber of Commerce and Urban Design Center, is poised for suc cessful, healthy growth. Accolades including \#1 on Kiplinger's "10 Greatest Cities for Ra ising Families," \#3 on Gallup-Healthways Well Being Index ${ }^{\circledR}$ for "Metro Areas for Overall Well-Being," and \#8 on Milken Institute's "Best-Performing Cities" provide evidence that this medium-sized Americ an city is on track to provide opportunities for people to live, work, and play within the core urban a rea supporting a current population of $403,892^{2}$ citizens.

Aspart of an overall susta ina bility commitment, and in an effort to attain the triple bottom line - economic strength, environmental stewardship, and social equity - the City defines susta inability as a thriving community; one that provides opportunity for all resid ents, cares for the environment, and haslong-tem vision for a prosperous future ${ }^{3}$. The DRA recognizes pedestrians as a key component of the susta inable downtown economy and prosperous future. Therefore, urban design components should address and enhance pedestrian safety, comfort, and accessibility. In an era of Smart Growth, Complete Streets, and a myriad of other best practices for urban development and re-development, Raleigh


[^0]continues to adopt plans and policies to improve mobility options and access for those who live and work in the downtown area. The Raleigh 2030 Plan, adopted in 2009, positions 60-70\% of all new growth in designated centers4, including the downtown area. With 15,000 residents within one mile of the State Capitol (at the head of Fayetteville Street), 40,000 downtown employees, and 41,000 students attending five local universities ${ }^{5}$ (a population largely relying on walking, public transportation, and bicycles), downtown Raleigh is ripe with potential pedestrian activity.

The City's development history - $\$ 9.9$ million projects complete in 2010, and a planned $\$ 433$ million projects underway ${ }^{6}$ - sets the pace fora wave of new inhabitants, including residential, office, and retail. Efforts to increase desired use of the urban core include programmatic elements: First Friday, Raleigh Downtown Fa mers Market, Winterfest, Raleigh Wide Open, a nd other sea sonal events. Design improvements, including the 2005-2006 restoration of Fa yetteville Street from a pedestrian mall to a vehicularthoroughfare, increase exposure of storefronts to those inhabiting the downtown streets. Cultural attractions provided by the Raleigh Amphitheater, Marbles Kids Museum, North Carolina Museum of Natural Sciences, Lincoln Theater, City Plaza and others, also provide a draw. Sidewalks and public transportation provide connections between these attractions, events, resta urants, reta il esta blishments, and offic es. Current improvements to pedestrian experience, as well as service by the R-UNE, rickshaws, and Capital Area Transit (CAT) busses can encourage people to leave their cars parked and navigate the city in more sustainable ways.

[^1]This page intentionally left blank.

Methodology

## 3. Methodology

### 3.1 Summary of Methodology

The methodology for the Raleigh Pedestrian Count was derived from the National Bicycle and Pedestrian Documentation project (NBPD) standardized procedures. Count forms and inc remental rec ordings mirror NBPD models for tracking pedestrian activity.

The NBPD is a joint national effort by the Institute of Transportation Eng ineers (ITE) Pedestrian \& Bic yc le Council, and Alta Planning + Design. The NBPD identifies a consistent count and survey methodology a nd count dates, collects count and survey data nationwide, and a nalyzes the data to identify walking and bicycling trends and pattems.

Data regarding where bicyclists and pedestrianslive, trip purpose, trip length, tra vel frequency, altemate modes, factors for route choice, seasonal beha vior, desires for improvements, a nd demographic data, can help identify correlations a nd causations within travel behavior, leading to more informed modeling, along with facilities and programs that properly respond to community needs and conditions.

This study does not follow sta nda rdized procedures for selecting count locations, as the Downtown Raleigh Alliance (DRA) selected this study's locations for specific reasons related to economic development. However, the information collected will be added to the NBPD's database, contributing to the national data collection effort and serving as a reference for other count studies. In the future, additional studies conducted in Raleigh including surveying methods established by the NBPD, will enable an in-depth a nalysis of travel pattems in the downtown area.

### 3.2 Count Locations

Count locations were established by the DRA. The method for selecting each location was detemined by interest in pedestrian volume during spec ific times of day. For the pupose of this study, pedestrian activity (furtherdefined as "bodies on the sidewalk") wascounted in the Core Business District (Fayetteville Street District), as well as two late night/ enterta inment districts: the Warehouse District and Glenwood South.


### 3.2.1 Count Location Makeup

The following count location descriptions are provided by the DRA ${ }^{7}$ :
Fayetteville Street District
Considered by many as the Central Business District of Downtown Raleigh, the Fayetteville Street district is characterized by its skyscrapers, the density of its built environment, and the proliferation of people on the go. Loosely bounded by Morgan Street on the North and Martin Luther King Avenue on the South, the district's backbone is the City's grand boulevard, Fayetteville Street. Also known as North Carolina's main street, Fayetteville Street underwent a major transformation. In 2006, the first phase of its a renaissance was completed when the pedestrian mall wastumed back into a traditional street adomed with public art, outdoor cafes, 28feet wide sidewalks, a nd its inspiring vista between the Progress Energy Center for the Performing Arts and the North Carolina State Capital.

## Glenwood South District

No district in Downtown Raleigh does hip and trendy like the Glenwood South District. Progressive restaurant concepts line the venerable Glenwood Avenue and create the place to see and be seen on warm evenings in Downtown Raleigh. What wasonce a quiet row of warehouses and art supply stores has transformed over the past five years into a thriving resta urant and retail environment. The district's nightlife will soon welcome a signific ant residential boom, as more than 900 new condos and a partments will help to susta in the district's vita lity for the coming years.

## Warehouse District

Characterized by its red brick warehouses, the Warehouse Distric ts is slowly transforming into an intriguing mix of resta urants, spec ialty shops, a nd antique stores. Its slower pace and quiet environment are a stark contrast to the neighboring Fa yetteville Street District, but the district's confines come alive after dark as the resta urants a nd clubs open their doors to patrons a nd enterta inment seekers. With the recent opening of the Contemporary Art Museum and one of downtown's proposed commuter rail stations, the district will continue to add new colors to its attraction palette in the coming years. Also notable in the Warehouse District are its ha nd ful of esta blishments that cater to altemative lifestyles. The Warehouse district is bordered to the west by the Historic neighborhood, Boylan Heights.

Counts were recorded on each side of the selected street with a screenline at mid-block. Screenlines are imaginary lines drawn a cross the right-of-way. Counters were instructed to count each "body on the

[^2]sidewalk" within 50 feet of the screenline. Those counted were: people walking, people in wheel chairs, children in strollers, people on segways, and those riding bikes on the sidewalk. The purpose of employing the 50 foot buffer on both sides of the screenline is to capture all pedestrian activity entering and exiting build ings, as well as loading or off-loading public transportation that may not cross the screenline but should be included in the study.

Total count locations equal 74 individual loc ations with 60 in the Fayetteville District, four in the Warehouse District and ten in the Glenwood South District (See Appendix A fordetailed maps). Fortracking purposes, each location wasassigned a numbercorresponding to the geographic location of the screenline. These numbers were created using the street grid. Each street was numbered; north/south streets from 1-10 and east/west streets from 11-23 (see Figure 3-1). The sequence of the geo-referenced codes is as follows:

- On which street/ between which two streets/on the north/south/ east/west side of the street. (See Map A-1 in Appendix A for coding details).
- Each location code reta ins an individual set of data. Combining two location codes provides total counts for the block.


Figure 3-1: Study Area with reference numbers and geocodes.
(Maps adapted from previous $G$ reenways Inc. project)

### 3.3 Count Dates and Times



March and April provided pleasant weather for recording pedestrian activity.

Count dates for the Raleigh Downtown Pedestrian Study were selected to a cquire a representa tive sampling of activity for a "typical day". Mondays and Fridays were a voided as travel and a ctivity trends on these daystend to be atypical. The count team researched local special events (both recuring and seasonal) to avoid unrepresentative peaks in traffic. During the study window (March 29, 2011 to April 28, 2011), Saturday, April 9th was removed from potential count days to a void skewed counts from the World Beer Festival held in Moore Square. The monthly First Friday event was also removed from the count schedule.

Weather played a key role in date selection as well. The count month was selected to a void cold winter months when pedestrian a ctivity is likely to be reduced.

The following dates provided pleasant weather aswell as no perceived spike ordecline in representative sidewalk use due to special events:

- Tuesday, March 29th
- Saturday, April 2nd (Late Night Counts)
- Wednesday, April 6th
- Thursday, April 7th
- Tuesday, April 12th
- Wednesday, April 13th
- Thursday, April 14th
- Thursday, April 21st
- Wednesday, Ap ril 27th

Selected times of day, recorded in 15-minute increments, were determined by interest from the DRA and by recommendations from Alta Planning + Design based on typical peak pedestrian periods. Three categories of timing were selected: 11-Hour Counts from 7:00 a.m. - 6:00 p.m. for the downtown core a round Fayetteville Street; peak hours of 7:30 a.m. - 9:30 a.m. and 11:30 a.m. - 1:30 p.m. for other a reas surrounding Fa yetteville Street; and evening hours from 8:00 p.m. - 2:00 a .m. for the enterta inment districts. Full count locations were limited to the Fa yetteville District and are indic ated in yellow on Map A-2 in Appendix A. Both Glenwood South and the Warehouse Districts were only monitored as evening hour counts.

### 3.4 Count Methodology/ Materials

All counts were conducted by trained counters using either an electronic count box or standardized count form. Each count boxdigitally recorded pedestrian a ctivity crossing or within 50 -feet of the screenline by pushing a button assigned to a specific geo-referenced location. Data from each count box wasthen downloaded and recorded digitally. The use of count boxes decreases the percentage of human error by reducing simulta neous ta sks, i.e., watc hing time and counting. In the event that a count box was una vailable, ma nual count forms were provided and also provided as backup for count boxes. Each count form was preformatted to suit the timeframe and location for each counter (see Forms B-1 through B-4 in Appendix B).

All count data wascondensed into a spreadsheet and recorded in 15 increments foreach of the geocode locations (See Appendix C forfull count matrices).


All counters were provided badges to indicate their involvement in the study. Hand-held electronic counting devicesimprove accuracy of the data.

Count Results/Analysis

## 4. Count Results/ Analysis

Count results for all 74 loc ations are shown in Tables C-1 through C-4 in Appendix C. The volume of pedestrian activity on downtown Raleigh's streets can be a nalyzed by:

- Overall trend a nalysis
- Total volume analysis
- Average pedestrians per hour
- Location specific analysis


### 4.1 Fayetteville District 11-Hour Counts

The Fayetteville District has a variety of street types. With the renovation of Fayetteville Street, the core of this district is designed with sidewalk widths exc eeding 20 feet. These widths can accommodate various combinations of fumiture, trees, planters, newspaper and information stands, wayfinding elements, and other pedestrian amenities. Experience and scale of this street is quite different than other streets in the downtown area, however, it is important to note the "pa ssable" space on Fayetteville Street is typic ally eight-feet-wide. This space is the measurement between building facades and other sid ewalk amenities. Available passable space influences travelers selected paths and can cause pedestrians to choose altemate routes or cross to op posite sides of the street.

Other streets within the Fayetteville District are the standard five feet in width. While not typic ally seen as a feasible space for sidewalk dining, locations such as Remedy Diner populate the na rrow sidewalk with outdoor seating. As observed in this study, pedestrians do not seem to select altemate paths to avoid tight spacesaccommodating sidewalk dining patrons.

### 4.1.1 Overall Trend Analysis-11-Hour Counts

An overall comparison of all 11-Hour Count locations depicts a clear increase in pedestrian traffic during the typic al lunch hours of 11:30 a.m. 2:00 p.m. (See Figure $4-1$ for trend graph) with a total of 17,912 pedestrians recorded in this time period. Lunch hour traffic accounts for $41 \%$ of the total 11-Hour Count volume. Peak total traffic in the Fayetteville District 11Hour Count loc ations oc curs between 12:46 p.m. and 1:00 p.m. with 2,069 pedestrians.



Figure 4-1: Trend of all 11-Hour Count location totals depicting lunch period spike.


Figure 4-2: Trend of each 11-Hour Count location showing peaks in pedestrian traffic.

Analyzing the trend for each count location separately, it is clear the west side of Fayetteville Street between Hargett and Martin Streets experiences the highest peak traffic, which occurs during the lunch period of 1:01 p.m. $-1: 15$ p.m. (See Figure $4-2$ ) with 568 pedestrians counted. All top seven counts a ppear in this location.

Top 11-Hour count a ctivity oc curs on Fayetteville Street between Hargett and Martin Streets at the time periods of:

- 1:01 p.m. - 1:15 p.m.: 568 pedestrians
- 12:46 p.m. - 1:00 p.m.: 457 pedestrians
- 1:31 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.: 448 pedestrians
- 12:31 p.m. - 12:45 p.m.: 421 pedestrians
- 1:16 p.m. - 1:30 p.m.: 359 pedestrians
- 12:16 p.m. - 12:30 p.m.: 359 pedestrians
- 12:01 p.m. - 12:15 p.m.: 342 pedestrians


### 4.1.2 Total Volume Analysis

Total volumes can be analyzed per location as well as per block. Locations indic ate specific sides of the street, while blocks add total volume for both sidesto understa nd the overall volume experienced between two intersections. Several variablescan affect "side of street" preference. Pedestrians initiate their travel from work, a parking space or other location in downtown traveling on a specific street side. Choice of path is influenced by destination, sun/shade, perceived comfort, perceived safety, etc. These preferences are outside the scope of this project, but could be explored in further studies accompanied by indic ation of direction, pedestrian surveys, and cognitive mapping.

Total block counts, shown in Table D-1 in Appendix D, illustrate the volume leader as Fayetteville Street between Hargett and Martin Streets (see Figure 4-3) experiencing 11,903 pedestrians. The total volume of pedestrian use over the 11-Hour Count period forall blocks was 43,772. Of this sampling, the least activity was seen on Davie Street between Fayetteville and Wilmington Streets. Figure $4-4$ shows this hierarchy on the study map.

Higher volumes of use may be attributed to either departure and destination points and/or convenient links. Although beyond the scope of this study, future pedestrian surveys can indic ate whether use of a partic ular block is attributed to high frequencies of block use (departure and destinations), if the block serves as a key link between two points, or if the block possesses certain characteristics (i.e., shade, safety, comfort) that induces path choice.

It is important to note for all total counts: this does not indic ate unique individuals, as it is assumed the same person may be counted walking to work; to lunch; back to their office after lunch; and back to their home, vehic le or public transportation source. However, in further studies, this total volume can be compared year to yearto glean an indication of a change in overall use.


Figure 4-3: Total volumes for each block in the 11-Hour Count study.


Figure 4-4: Volume by block for all 11-Hour Counts.

### 4.1.3 Location spec iffic Analysis

Selecting an east or west, north or south side of a street can be influenced by many factors. Weather can alter this decision as seen in William Whyte's studies (The Social Life of Small Urban Spaces, 1980) where pedestrians choose sunny sides of the street in cooler weather and take refuge in the shadows of buildings in hot summer months. Extreme temperatures did not overly skew results in this study, as most counts were recorded on pleasant days ranging from the low 60 sto high 70 s (degrees Fahrenheit). Speculation and prior knowledge of human behavior suggest that people tend to choose the shortest route but other preferencescould be discovered with future studies.

This study indic ates use from highest to lowest as follows (see Table D-2 in Appendix D and Figure 4-5):

1. The west side of Fa yetteville Street between Hargett Street and Martin Street: 6,820
2. The west side of Fayetteville Street between Martin Street and Davie Street: 6,626
3. The east side of Fayetteville Street between Hargett Street and Martin Street: 5,083
4. The west side of Fa yetteville Street between Morgan Street and Hargett Street: 4,871
5. The east side of Fayetteville Street between Martin Street and Davie Street: 2,912
6. The east side of City Plaza : 2,770
7. The south side of Martin Street between Fa yetteville Street and Wilmington Street: 2,473
8. The south side of Hargett Street between Fa yetteville Street and Wilmington Street: 2,320
9. The east side of Fayetteville Street between Morgan Street and Hargett Street: 2,039
10. The south side of Davie Street between Fa yetteville Street and Wilmington Street: 1,875


Figure 4-5: Hierarchy of all 11-Hour Count Locations.
11. The west side of City Plaza: 1803
12. The north side of Martin Street between Fa yetteville Street and Wilmington Street: 1,765
13. The north side of Davie Street between Fayetteville Street and Wilmington Street: 1,305
14. The north side of Hargett Street between Fayetteville Street and Wilmington Street: 1,110

### 4.1.4 Average Pedestrians Per Hour

Over the entire day period of 7:00 a.m. - 6:00 p.m., averages can be taken for each block to measure pedestrian activity per hour. These averages reveal the top five blocksfor average pedestrians per hour as (see Figure 4-6 a nd Table D-3 in Appendix D):


Figure 4-6: Average pedestria ns per hour by block in 11-Hour Count study.

1. Fayetteville Street between Hargett Street and Martin Street: 541 pedestrians perhour
2. Fa yetteville Street between Martin Street and Davie Street: 434 pedestrians per hour
3. Fayetteville Street between Morgan Street and Hargett Street: 314 pedestrians perhour
4. City Plaza: 208 pedestrians per hour
5. Martin Street between Fayetteville Street and Wilmington Street: 193 pedestrians perhour

Averagescan also be taken foreach location to measure pedestrian activity per hour. These averages reveal the top five locations for average pedestrians per hour as (see Figure 4-7 and Table D-4 in Appendix D):

1. The west side of Fa yetteville Street between Hargett Street and Martin Street: 620 pedestria ns per hour
2. The west side of Fa yetteville Street between Martin Street and Davie Street: 602 pedestria ns per hour
3. The east side of Fa yetteville Street between Hargett Street and Martin Street: 462 pedestria ns per hour
4. The west side of Fa yetteville Street between Morgan Street and Hargett Street: 442 pedestria ns per hour
5. The east side of Fa yetteville Street between Martin Street and Davie Street: 264 pedestria ns per hour

### 4.2 Fayetteville District Peak Hour Counts

### 4.2.1 Overall Trend Analysis - Peak Use Counts

Results of the Peak Use Counts will be added to the 11-Hour Counts to present a more complete pic ture of pedestrian activity in the Fayetteville District. Two-hour windows were selected to capture a snapshot of activity in the moming (7:30 a.m. - 9:30 a.m.) and during the lunch period (11:30 a.m. - 1:30 p.m.).

Analyzing a complete count from both the 11 -Hour Counts and the Peak Use Counts, the highest instance of pedestrian activity in the moming occurs between 8:46 a.m. and 9:00 a.m. with a total count of 2,556 (see Figure 4-8 and Table D-5 in Appendix D). The total volume experienced in the moming window forall locations was 16,308 .


Figure 4-8: Trends during Peak Use for moming and aftemoon time periods.

The aftemoon peak period total volume experiences the highest a mount of pedestrians between 12:46 p.m. and 1:00 p.m. with 4,641 pedestrians recorded (see Figure 4.8 and Table D-5 in Appendix D). The total volume of pedestria ns counted in the Fayetteville District during the lunch period was 33,939 .

### 4.2.2 Peak Use Count Total Volume Analysis: Moming

 Of the 16,308 pedestrians counted from 7:30 a.m. - 9:30 a.m., the block of Salisbury Street between Martin Street and Davie Street experienced the highest volume with 1,788 total pedestrians (see Figure 4-9 and 4-10). The top five total use blocks in the moming were:1. Sa lisbury Street between Martin Street and Da vie Street: 1,788 pedestrians
2. Fayetteville Street between Martin Street and Davie Street: 1,228 pedestrians
3. Fa yetteville Street between Morgan Street a nd Hargett Street: 1,093 pedestria ns
4. Davie Street between Wilmington Street and Blount Street: 1,065 pedestrians
5. Fayetteville Street between Hargett Street a nd Martin Street: 1,013 pedestria ns
Block use from highest to lowest is displayed in Table D-6 in Appendix $D$.

Total Volume By Block: Peak Morning Use
(West/North: Bottom) (East/South: Top)


Figure 4-9: Total volumes for each block for the Peak Moming Use counts.


Figure 4-10: Top five total volumes in Peak Moming Use Counts.

### 4.2.3 Peak Hour Count Location Spec ific Analysis: <br> Moming

Analysis of each location reveals the top 10 locations as (see Figure 4-11):

1. The east side of Sa lisbury Street between Martin Street and Davie Street: 1,285 pedestrians
2. The west side of Fa yetteville Street between Martin Street and Davie Street: 935 pedestrians
3. The south side of Davie Street between Wilmington Street and Blount Street: 885 pedestrians
4. The west side of Fayetteville Street between Morgan Street a nd Hargett Street: 876 pedestrians
5. The west side of Fa yetteville Street between Hargett Street and Martin Street: 556 pedestrians
6. The east side of City Plaza : 554 pedestrians
7. The south side of Martin Street between Fayetteville Street and Wilmington Street: 541 pedestrians

8. The east side of Wilmington Street Figure 4-11: Top ten volume locationsduring Peak Moming Use. between Hargett Street and Martin Street: 515 pedestrians
9. The west side of Sa lisbury Street between Martin Street and Davie Street: 503 pedestrians
10. The east side of Fayetteville Street between Hargett Street and Martin Street: 457 pedestrians
(See Table D-7A and D-7B in Appendix D for all locations from highest volume to lowest volume).

### 4.2.4 Peak Hour Count Total Volume Analysis: Lunch Period

During the lunch period of 11:30 a.m. - 1:30 p.m., 33,939 pedestrians were counted in 60 locations of the Fayetteville District (11-Hour Counts combined with Peak Hour Counts). Overall, most counts were higher than the moming peak hour.


Figure 4-12: Total volumes for each block for the Peak Aftemoon Use counts.


Figure 4-13: Top five total volumes in Peak Aftemoon Use Counts.

The top five highest instances of pedestrian use by block were (see Figure $4-12$ and 4-13):

1. Fayetteville Street between Hargett Street a nd Martin Street: 4,905
2. Fayetteville Street between Martin Street and Davie Street: 3,042
3. Hargett Street between Sa lisbury Street and Fa yetteville Street: 2,676
4. Fayetteville Street between Morgan Street and Ha rgett Street: 2,118
5. Davie Street between Wilmington Street and Blount Street: 1,839
Block use from highest to lowest is displayed in Table D-8 in Appendix $D$.

### 4.2.5 Peak Hour Count Location Spec ific Analysis:

 Lunch PeriodExamining each location separately, eight geocodes climbed above 1,000 pedestria ns. The top ten loc ations are (see Figure 4-14):

1. The west side of Fayetteville Street between Hargett Street and Martin Street: 2,963 pedestrians
2. The east side of Fayetteville Street between Hargett Street and Martin Street: 1,942 pedestrians
3. The west side of Fa yetteville Street between Martin Street and Davie Street: 1,796 pedestria ns
4. The south side of Davie Street between Wilmington Street and Blount Street: 1,503 pedestria ns
5. The west side of Fayetteville Street between Morgan Street and Hargett Street: 1,459 pedestria ns
6. The south side of Hargett Street between Salisbury Street and Fayetteville Street: 1,419 pedestrians
7. The north side of Hargett Street between Salisbury Street and Fayetteville Street: 1257 pedestrians
8. The east side of Fayetteville Street between Martin Street and Davie Street: 1246 pedestrians


Figure 4-14: Top ten volume locations during Peak Moming Use.
9. The east side of Sa lisbury Street between Martin Street and Davie Street: 899 pedestrians
10. The east side of City Plaza: 840 pedestrians
(See Table D-9A and D-9B in Appendix D for all locations from highest volume to lowest volume).

### 4.2.6 Peak Hour Average Pedestrians Per Hour

Combining both 11 -Hour Counts and Peak Hour Counts, average pedestrians per hour illustrate a more complete picture of downtown Raleigh activity.

By block, the top five peak moming occurrences are (see Figure 4-15):

1. Sa lisbury Street between Martin Street and Da vie Street: 447 pedestrians per hour
2. Fayetteville Street between Martin Street and Davie Street: 307 pedestrians perhour
3. Fa yetteville Street between Morgan Street a nd Hargett Street: 273 pedestrians per hour
4. Davie Street between Wilmington Street a nd Blount Street: 266 pedestrians perhour
5. Fa yetteville Street between Hargett Street a nd Martin Street: 253 pedestrians per hour
(See Table D-10 in Appendix D for pedestrians per hour ranked highest to lowest.)


Figure 4-15: Average pedestria ns per hour by block during Peak Moming Use.

The top five blocks during the aftemoon peak are (see Figure 4-16):

1. Fayetteville Street between Hargett Street and Martin Street: 1,226 pedestrians per hour
2. Fayetteville Street between Martin Street and Davie Street: 760 pedestrians perhour
3. Hargett Street between Sa lisbury Street and Fa yetteville Street: 669 pedestrians per hour
4. Fayetteville Street between Morgan Street and Hargett Street: 529 pedestrians per hour
5. Davie Street between Wilmington Street and Blount Street: 459 pedestrians per hour
Moming top locations differ from lunch period top location as seen in the two graphs presented in Figure 4-15 and Figure 4-16.


Figure 4-16: Average pedestrians per hour by block during Peak Aftemoon Use.

### 4.3 Warehouse and Glenwood South Entertainment District Counts

Both the Warehouse District and Glenwood South are populated with five foot sidewalks. The exceptions to this sta ndard are wider areas of Glenwood South with extended widths that accommodate outdoor seating for bars and restaurants. This use of the sidewalk decreases passable space to about five feet. Street trees also inha bit sidewalk space with tree grates and tree la wns of five feet. Pedestrians traveling through this district experience tight pinc hes of passable space. The west side of Glenwood Avenue housing near Hibemian Pub allows only two feet of passable space due to their outdoorfenced dining area. While this poses no problem for pedestria ns, it can cause issues for those passing with strollers or in wheelc hairs.

### 4.3.1 Late Night Counts Trend Analysis

The Warehouse District and Glenwood South are two distinct enterta inment/nightlife districts in downtown Raleigh catering to a late night crowd. Both locations offer resta urants, bars, clubs, and other late night ac tivities. Further studies inc luding surveys of users would present additional scientific and empiric al evidence to clarify the demographic differences between each option for late night pedestrians. One clear distinction between the two districts is the edge effect in the Warehouse District. Train tracks a nd residential neighborhoods border the west side of this district dec reasing the possibility of pedestrian 'pass-through' traffic. Although some may argue Glenwood South is also an isolated district and more of a destination than a 'pass-through' the proximity to other active late night areas increases the potential for 'pass-through' traffic. There are also some residential land uses within Glenwood South that contribute to sidewalk volumes as people depart from and retum to their homes on the shoulders of 8:00 p.m. and 2:00 a.m..

Both areas were examined over a period of six hours, resulting in total traffic counts of 2,654 pedestria ns in two locations of the Wa rehouse District and 17,414 pedestrians in ten locations recorded on Glenwood South. Further comparisons in rate of pedestria ns pertime period reveal use pattems.

Overall trends for the Warehouse District and Glenwood South cannot be matc hed evenly for a nalysis of the number of count locations was not the same. However, when comparing each trend, it is clear that the Warehouse District experienced an overall decline in traffic from 8:00 p.m. - 2:00 a.m., whereas Glenwood South experienced a spike in pedestrians from 11:30 p.m. - 1:45 a.m. (see Figures 4-17 and 4-18).


Figure 4-17: Overall trend in total counts in the Warehouse District.


Figure 4-18: Overall trend of total counts in the Glenwood South District.

### 4.3.2 Late Night Total Volume Analysis

In the Warehouse District, Davie Street experienced more traffic than Martin Street, totaling 2,077 and 577, respectively. All four loc ations experienced a total volume of 2,654 pedestrians. With a total count of 1359, the North side of Davie conta ined the most traffic in this District (see Figure 4-19 and Table D-14 in Appendix D).


Figure 4-19: Total block counts for the Warehouse District.


Figure 4-20: Total block counts for the Glenwood South District.

Total pedestrian traffic recorded on Glenwood South equals 17,414 persons. Top performing blocks were Glenwood Avenue between Tucker Street and North Street $(4,858)$ and Glenwood between Lane and J ones $(4,547)$, with the east side of Glenwood between Lane and J onesleading as the most traveled with 3,169 pedestria ns (see Figure 4-20 a nd Table D-15 in Appendix D).

### 4.3.3 Late Night Count Location Spec ific Analysis

Martin Street, in the Wa rehouse District, shows dips and peaks a cross time for both the north a nd south sides of the street (see Figure 4-21). Davie Street's north and south comparison is quite different, with the north side experienc ing higher loads of pedestrian traffic from 8:00 p.m. - 11:00 p.m. before producing more even results a c ross both sides (see Figure 4.22).
The north side peaks as the counts begin at 8:00 p.m. with 165 pedestrians, while the south side only peaks at 44, which is experienced at 10:16 p.m., 10:46 p.m., and 12:31 a.m.


Figure 4-21: Martin on Commerce north/south comparison.


Figure 4-23: Glenwood between Johnson and Tucker east/west comparison.

On G lenwood Avenue, between Tucker Street and North Street (see Figure 4-24), both sides of the street simila rly trend with the majority of dissimilarpeaks and dips between 11:16 p.m. and 1:16 a.m.. The east side of the street peaks at 190 (11:46 p.m.), while the west side peaks lower at 154 (12:01 a.m.).


Figure 4-22: Davie on Commerce north/south comparison.

The east and west sides of Glenwood Avenue between J ohnson Street a nd Tucker Street seem to follow the same trend with the east side lagging slightly behind until after 12:00 a.m. when a large spike in traffic is experienced on the west side between 12:46 a .m. and 1:00 a.m. (see Figure 4-23).


Figure 4-24: Glenwood between Tucker and North east/west comparison.
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Between North Street and Lane Street on Glenwood Avenue, the trending is erratic with opposite peaks and dips on the west and east sides of the street between 8:31 p.m. and 10:30 p.m., switc hing extremes from 10:30 p.m. to 12:00 a .m. (see Figure 4-25).


Figure 4-25: Glenwood between North and Lane east/west comparison.


Figure 4-26: Glenwood between Lane and J ones east/west comparison.

Glenwood Avenue between Lane Street and J ones Street (see Figure 4-26) shows the west side overall with less pedestrian traffic than the east side. In fact, the highest instance of traffic on the west side (105) is still almost half of the count for the east side (199).

Between Glenwood Avenue and West Street on J ones Street (see Figure 4-27), the north side of the street mostly trends less than the south side. Each side of the street seems to experience opposite moments of dips and peaks. The highest peak on the south side (111) is almost double that of the peak on the north side (69).


Figure 4-27: J ones between Glenwood and West north/ south comparison.

### 4.3.4 Pedestrians Per Hour

By calculating the average number of pedestrians per hour, although not founded in the same sampling size, both the Warehouse District and Glenwood South can be compared. Each block's saverage appears in Table D-16 in Appendix D. The chart in Figure 4-28 shows the top five blocks as:

1. Glenwood Avenue between Tucker Street and North Street: 404 pedestrians per hour
2. Glenwood Avenue between La ne Street and J ones Street: 378 pedestrians per hour
3. Glenwood Avenue between J ohnson Street and Tucker Street: 265 pedestria ns per hour
4. J ones Street between Glenwood Avenue and West Street: 205 pedestria ns per hour
5. Glenwood Avenue between North Street and Lane Street: 196 pedestrians per hour
It is possible to compare each Late Night Count location by a nalyzing the number of pedestria ns per hour rec orded (see Figure 4-29). For the 8:00 p.m. - 2:00 a.m. period, the top five locations for average pedestrians per hour are:
6. The east side of Glenwood Avenue between Lane Street and J ones Street: 528 pedestrians per hour
7. The east side of Glenwood Avenue between Tucker Street and North Street: 443 pedestrians per hour
8. The west side of Glenwood Avenue between Johnson Street and Tuc ker Street: 375 pedestria ns perhour
9. The west side of Glenwood Avenue between Tucker Street and North Street: 366 pedestrians per hour
10. The south side of Jones Street between Glenwood Avenue and West Street: 279 pedestrians perhour


Figure 4-28: Average pedestrians per hour for all late night blocks.


Figure 4-29: Average pedestrians per hour for all late night locations.

City Comparisons

## 5. City Comparisons

The methods and procedures for the Downtown Raleigh Pedestrian Count are unique to those of the National Bike and Pedestrian Documentation Program. Key differences include the addition of data counts for each side of the street; an analysis of the late night wind ow from 8:00 p.m. 2:00 a.m.; and incremental final recording. The following compariso ns extract information from the Raleigh Count to match existing data from the NBPDP database. Two similar environments, Knoxville, TN, a nd Greensboro, NC, were selected based on the nature of the count locations: downtown/urban/retail/restaurant; the window of time for each recording; and the weather conditions during data collection. A third urban area comparison is included to glean an understanding of Raleigh's alignment with a larger city. Although quite different in climate, population and area, San Diego stands as a city with some well-established cultural areas (East Villa ge, Gaslamp Quarter, etc.) but is initia ting an urban revita lization. Note: Sidewalk widths a re not ava ila ble foreach location.

### 5.1 Knoxville, Tennessee

The five-year study for Knoxville began in 2005 with recorded pedestrian and bicycle counts in the spring and fall of each year. The most recent count data referenced is from April 2009. Weather conditions were slightly cooler than the Raleigh count days, but overall the weatherwas in the 40 s and 50 s (degrees Fa hrenheit) with no rain reported.

Counts for the Knoxville study were recorded by lump volume in windows from 7:00 a.m. - 9:00 a .m. and 4:00 p.m. - 6:00 p.m.. This equates to a time period in the Raleigh study of 7:00 a.m. - 9:00 a .m. and 4:00 p.m. - 6:00 p.m. using the 11-Hour Count data.

Three locations from the Knoxville study can be used in comparison with Raleigh's 14 locations in the Fayetteville District 11-Hour Counts.

1. Summit Hill and Gay: This intersection in Knoxville is a gateway to the downtown distric $t$ with shopping, retail, resta urants, and offic es. This location is somewhat similar to the intersection of Fa yetteville and Morgan, in that it is a centrally-located northem entrance to this downtown area.
2. Gay and Hill: This intersection enters the downtown a rea from the south. Although not as programmed as the City Plaza block in Raleigh, the entrance effect is similar.
3. Clinch and Henley: This route runs east-west between downtown and the University of Tennessee. This partic ular location is adjacent to the convention center. No clear comparison can be made with any Raleigh locations, but the characteristic s of a nearly University as well as a convention center establish this location as compatible for the a nalysis.
Figure 5.1 illustrates the total count companison of Knoxville's three locations with the total count volume from the Raleigh Study.


All of Raleigh 11-Hour Count locations exceed the Knoxville north and south downtown gateways. The east-west connector between downtown Knoxville and the University of Tennessee camies more than twice the volume of the gateways. Potential causes for this inc rease could be students and faculty commuting to class and work, but this is only speculation. Even with this potential higher level of use as a "commuter' street," overall Raleigh experienc es higher levels of pedestrian tra vel during this timeframe.


Figure 5-1: Knoxville and Raleigh comparison of total volume from 7:00-9:00 a .m. and 4:00-6:00 p.m.

### 5.2 Greensboro, North Carolina

The Greensboro study count locations of the intersection of Wa shington and Davie and the intersection of Market and Elm are both located in the downtown area near retail, restaurants, office, and govemment facilities, including City Hall. The area also includes the Intemational Civil Rights Museum and Carolina Theatre. Washington and Davie counts were conducted on a clearpleasant day in early September. The Market and Elm data was also collected in early September, howeverthe weather was slightly less sunny.

Greensboro/Raleigh Total Volume 8am-10am


Figure 5-2: Greensboro's total volumes fall below most of Raleigh's volumes from 8:00 a.m. - 10:00 a .m.

1. Market and Elm: This location is in the heart of the downtown Greensboro area. Elm is a kin to Fayetteville in its north/south orientation lined with a dense population of restaurants.
2. Washington and Davie is situated south east of the Market a nd Elm location. This intersection is one block off the Elm Street thoroughfare, acting as more of a gateway than a destination.
Weekday total block counts from 8:00 a.m. - 10:00 a .m. and 2:00 p.m. 4:00 p.m. are compared with Raleigh's 11-Hour count totals. It is clear from Figure 5.2, Raleigh's moming traffic exceeds that of Greensboro's in six out of seven blocks.

Once again, weekday aftemoon hours of 2:00 p.m. - 4:00 p.m. indic ate highertotal pedestrian counts in downtown Raleigh with the exception of one location, Davie between Fayetteville and Wilmington (see Figure 5.3).


Figure 5-3: Greensboro's total volumes fall below most of Raleigh's volumes from 2:00 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.

### 5.3 San Diego, Califomia

San Diego is a largercity than Raleigh in population, density, and area. Comparing a mid-sized city to a largercity, in pedestrian volume, provides some perspective to the total sidewalk traffic. While locations such as New York City's Manhattan may experiences pedestrian volumes in the 5,000s, San Diego's counts were more modest and near those of the Raleigh counts, as seen in Figures 5.4 and 5.5. Counts were compared in the moming hours of 7:00 a.m. - 9:00 a.m. as well as the lunch period of 12:00 p.m. - 2:00 p.m.. Three loc ations were selected for comparison, with the following makeup:

San Diego/Raleigh Total Volume 7am-9am


Figure 5-4: Although a largercity, Raleigh's moming counts are in-line with two out of three comparison locations in San Diego.

1. Broadway and Kettner Blvd: As one of the ma in arteries of San Diego, Broadway connects many places in the city via an east-west route. This location on the west side of town is near the Amtrak station, Starbucks, a variety of hotels and resta urants as well as shopping op portunities.
2. Market Street and 5th Avenue: Market, also an ea st-west connector, is located further south in the city. Situated in the Gaslamp Quarter, pubs, and restaurants prevail blended with daily services and retail esta blishments.
3. University Avenue and 5th Avenues: J ust south of the Scripps Mercy Hospital, this loc a tion is sumrounded in all other directions by restaurants, hotels, a Whole Foodsmarket and other daily needs providers.

San Diego/Raleigh Total Volume 12pm-2pm


Figure 5-5: Although a largercity, most of Raleigh's aftemoon counts are equal to orexceed those of the samplestaken from San Diego.

### 5.4 Pedestrian Infrastructure Best Practices

### 5.4.1 Pedestrian Volume and Sidewalk Widths

Sidewalks are the most fundamental element of the walking network, providing an area for pedestrian travel separate from vehicle traffic. Sidewalks are typic ally constructed of concrete and are separated from the roadway by a curb, gutter, or landscaped planting strip. Sidewalks are common in urban and suburban environments but are less common in rural areas and environments where objections to the "urban" character of sidewalks can a rise.

The width of a sidewalk affects the ability of pedestria ns to use the comidor and also impacts other elements of the space, such asthe presence of street fumiture or the orientation of doorways. Many well-documented characteristic s of pedestrian tra vel influence sidewalk design needs. For example, pedestrianstend to distance themselves from cartraffic and also generally walk about two-feet a way from build ings or fences.

Width is a lso important for a ssessing pedestrian level of service (PLOS). The Highway Capacity Manual (2000) bases PLOS on pedestrian volume and sidewalk width. The pedestrian flow rate indic a tes the ability of pedestrians to tra vel freely along a walkway at suffic ient speeds. The AASHTO Green Book and other guidebooks recommend wider sidewalk design widths with high pedestrian volumes. However, the type of roadway adjac ent to the sidewalk, the land uses that accompany it, and other environmental fac tors must a lso inform design widths.

The 2030 Comprehensive Plan for the City of Raleigh (2010) acknowledges the relationship between pedestrian volumes and sidewalk widths. The plan identifies the need to attract pedestrian activity and to provide accommodating sidewalk space (Policy UD 4.4, Polic y UD 4.5, Policy T 3.2). Additionally, the plan rec ommends integrating pedestrian level of service into transportation planning and design practices (Polic y T3.3 and Action T3.4). Raleigh's Streets, Sidewalk, and Driveway Access Handbook (SSDAH) currently requires a minimum five-foot width for sidewalks (where sidewa lks a re required), but allows for na rower widths in Sensitive Area Thoroughfares, as defined based on unique contextual circumstances.

The following information desc ribes components of sidewalk space, pedestrian volumes, and recommended sidewalk widths relevant to the City of Raleigh.

### 5.4.2 Eements of Sidewalk Space

In disc ussing sidewalk space, "design width" refers to the width specification that a sidewalk is intended to meet and includes the space bounded between the curb (orplanting strip) and a building or other physical demarcation. "Minimum clearance width" refers to the sidewalk's na rrowest point, with the intention that all points along the sidewalk coridor remain accessible.

The Sidewalk Coridor is typic ally located within the public right-of-way between the curb or roadway edge and the property line. The Sidewalk Comidor conta ins four distinct zones: the Curb Zone, the Fumishings Zone, the Through Pedestrian Zone, and the Frontage Zone.

## The Curb Zone

Curbs prevent water in the street gutters from entering the pedestrian space, discourage vehic les from driving over the pedestrian area, and make it easy to sweep the streets. In addition, the curb helpsto define the pedestrian environment within the streetscape, although other designs can be effective for this purpose. At the comer, the curb is an important tactile element for pedestrians who are finding their way with the use of a cane.

## The Fumishings/ Planting Zone

The Fumishings Zone buffers pedestrians from the adjacent roadway, and is also the area where elements such as street trees, signal poles, utility poles, street lights, controller boxes, hydrants, signs, parking meters, driveway a prons, grates, hatch covers, a nd street fumiture are properly located. This is the area where people alight from parked cars.

## The Through Pedestrian Zone

The Through Pedestrian Zone is the area intended for pedestrian travel. This zone should be entirely free of permanent and temporary objects.

## The Frontage Zone

The Frontage Zone is the area between the Through Pedestrian Zone and the property line. This zone a llows pedestrians a comfortable "shy" distance from the building fronts, in areas where buildings are at the lot line, or from elements such as fences and hedges on private property.

Medium to high-density pedestrian zones located in areas with commercial or retail a ctivity provide excellent opportunities to develop an inviting pedestrian environment. The frontage zone in retail and commercial areas may include seating forcafés and restaurants or extensions of retail establishments. The fumishings zone may include seating, transit shelters, newspa per racks, water founta ins, utility boxes, lampposts, street trees and other landscaping. The medium to highdensity pedestrian zone should provide an interesting and inviting environment for walking and window shopping.

### 5.4.3 Pedestrian Volume

Pedestrian volume equates to the number the persons walking at a given location pera specific amount of time (minute or hour). High density areas with a mix of land uses incur high pedestrian volumes. The quality and connectivity of the spatial network also influences pedestrian activity.

A 2008 study commissioned by the New York City Department of Transportation found that the maximum volume for comfortable pedestrian movement is 12 people per minute per yard of sidewalk width. Beyond this level, a sidewalk is considered overcrowded and may enc ounter:

- Lost commercial potential: window shopping becomes limited when pedestrians feel that they cannot stop or linger in the sidewalk space
- Reduced safety: pedestrians become limited in their ability to control their own path or movements when a sidewalk is overcrowded and also have a greatertendency to walk into the roadway to skirt slow-moving clusters.
- Diminished accessibility: an overcrowded sidewalk reduces the a mount of space available for persons in wheelchairs, parents with strollers, children, the elderly, or persons with disa bilities to maneuver.

Sidewalks that do not offer appropriate width to meet pedestrian volume requirements also leads persons to avoid walking orto find an altemate route. A study of Copenhagen's main street, Stroget, determined that 12 people perminute peryard hasconsistently been the threshold volume that leads people to choose an altemate route.

Conversely, providing an effective sidewalk width (excluding obstructions and "shy" distance) that a ppropriately a c commodates pedestrian traffic without overc rowding capitalizes on commercial opportunities, improves pedestrian safety, and increases accessibility and equity of the comidor. Additionally, an a ppropriate sidewalk width also contributes to the pedestrians' choice to travel along preferred routes.

### 5.4.4 Sidewalk Width

Walkway width recommendations in current transportation industry guidelines generally exceed the 36 -inch minimum needed for accessible travel under the Americ ans with Disabilities Act. The Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), in its 1998 recommended practice publication, "Design and Safety of Pedestrian Facilities," recommends planning sidewalks that are a minimum of five-feet wide with a planting strip of two-feet on local streets and in residential and commercial a reas.

Sidewa lks should be at least five-feet wide, exc lusive of the curb and other obstructions. This width:

- Enables two pedestrians (including wheelcha ir users) to walk side-by-side, or to pass each other comfortably
- Allowstwo pedestrians to pass a third pedestrian without leaving the sidewalk
Sidewalk development is dependent on a vailable street width, motor vehic le volumes, surrounding la nd uses, and pedestrian a ctivity levels. For example, pedestrian traffic near a sta dium requires the movement large volumes at a relatively fast pace, while a mixed-use area encourages persons to travelat a slower speed, window-shop, and engage street features. Though a minimum width is provided, it is a lso possible for sidewa lks to be too large for their environment. Sidewalks should be designed to meet expected volumes and to interact with surrounding land-uses. Streetscape features, as described previously provide artic ulation and visual interest for the pedestrian realm. Sta nda rdizing
sidewalk guidelines for different areas of the City can provide a minimum level of quality for all sidewalks:
- Along higher volume a renial and collector streets sidewalks should be a minimum of six feet.
- In a reas with street-fronting ground floor retail uses, such as downtown, sidewalks should be 12 - to 18 -feet-wide to provide room for sid ewalk a ctivities such a s outdoor dining and sidewalk sales.


Figure 5-6: Minimum Width on Arterial/Major Collector


Figure 5-7: Typical Commercial Area Sidewalk

Ta ble 5-8 provides guidance for minimum sidewalk widths by street type. In some cases, it is possible to inc rease the dimensions of the sidewalk comidor, either through acquisition of right-of-way or public walkway easements, or by re-a llocation of the overall right-of-way (such as by na rrowing roadway travellanes or reducing the number of lanes).

Table 5-8: Minimum Sidewalk Widths

|  | Curb | Planting Strip (and fumishing zone)^ | Sidewalk Width |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Arterial and <br> Collector Street | 1 ft | $6-8 \mathrm{ft}$ | $6 \mathrm{ft}^{*}$ |
| Local Neighbor- <br> hood Street | $0-1 \mathrm{ft}$ | $6-8 \mathrm{ft}$ | $5 \mathrm{ft}^{*}$ |
| Commercial <br> Walkway | 1 ft | $6-8 \mathrm{ft}$ | $6-12 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Mixed Use <br> Center Streets | 1 ft | $6-8 \mathrm{ft}$ | $10-12 \mathrm{ft}$ |

[^3]

### 5.5 Conclusion

Downtown Raleigh is certainly an up and coming gem in the southeast. Already, the city has been awarded national accolades presenting Raleigh asone of the top places to live and raise fa milies. With the revita lization of Fayetteville Street from pedestrian mall to vehic ular path with a myriad of pedestrian a menities, businesses including resta urants and retail establishments experience an increase in exposure. Events and programming in the downtown area also encourage use, ascan be seen during festivals such as Raleigh Wide Open. Although Raleigh conta ins an extensive suburban area, this study shows a large population using and navigating the downtown area. Particularly during the lunch period, pedestrians proliferate the downtown area, presumably as they dine out, which contributes to the overall ec onomy of the city. Increasingly restaurants are adding sidewalk seating to theirdining capacity, even in tight spaces such as Remedy Diner's storefront. Coupled with further studies vetting pedestrian preference, each location's trends, total counts, and pedestrian per hour statistics can lead to an understanding of programmatic and land use recommendations for underperforming blocks. Sidewalk characteristics including width, fumishings, trees, and lighting can also affect use and could be explored further with surveys and interviews.

Asseen in the comparative city studies, the volume of traffic in the Raleigh study area tends to exceed the volume of small and even largercities. A further in-depth study of land use could compare Knoxville, Greensboro, and San Diego's retail, office and restaurant ratios to pedestrian volume. This study would provide a foundation to illustrate to potential occupants that Raleigh possesses suffic ient pedestrian volume to support new businesses.

While scientific methodscan assist in decision making for design and amenities for sidewalks, empinc al evidence and the element of expenience must not be overlooked. Great streets have been explored in litera ture by J ane J acobs, William Whyte, J an Gehl, and Allen J a cobs as well as a cross many disciplines includ ing planning, design, sociology, psychology and otherfields related to human behavior. Context plays a key role in pedestrian use, as seen in the Warehouse District and Glenwood South, where foot traffic does not stop due to lack of sidewalk width or the intimidation of crossing rail lines.

Overall, this study providesa snapshot of pedestrian activity in three of Raleigh's districts. Total volumes and pedestrian per hour statistic scan immediately enable the DRA to present evidence to potential occupants that Raleigh has suffic ient pedestrian ac tivity to support consumer activities. Overtime, it is recommended this study be repeated to identify trends; supplemented to explore pedestrian preferences; and expanded to analyze land use.
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## A.4-Appendix | Downtown Raleigh Alliance

## Appendix A: Count Location Maps

## A-1 Geocode Base

All streets are coded to create geocodes foreach count location. Codes follow the logic:
On which street/ between which two streets/ on the north/south/east/west side of the street.
North $=1$ South $=2$ East $=3$ West $=4$


## Appendix A: Count Location Maps

## A-2 Fayetteville District Map



## Appendix A: Count Location Maps

## A-3 Warehouse District Map



## Appendix A: Count Location Maps

A-4 Glenwood South District Map

A.8-Appendix | Downtown Raleigh Alliance

## Appendix B; Count Foms

## B-1 11-Hour Count Forms, Side 1

## Downtown Raleigh Pedestrian Count

## STANDARD SCREENLINE COUNT FORM

Name: $\qquad$ Location: $\qquad$

Date: $\qquad$ Start Time: $\qquad$ End Time: $\qquad$

Weather: $\qquad$ FOR SHIFT 7AM-6PM

Please fill in your name, count location, date, time period, and weather conditions (fair, rainy, very cold). Count all pedestrians crossing your screen line under the appropriate categories.

Count in 15 minute increments (use the appropriate time slots for the TIME OF DAY).
Track counts separately for each side of the street (enter location code at top of column).
Pedestrians include people in wheelchairs or others using assistive devices, children in strollers, etc.
Also count cyclists on the sidewalk, but NOT cyclists in the street.
Count people on segways.

|  | People on the <br> Sidewalk |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location <br> code: |  |  |
| 7:00am- <br> 7:15am |  |  |
| 7:16am- <br> 7:30am |  |  |
| 7:31am- <br> 7:45am |  |  |
| 7:46am- <br> 8:00am |  |  |
| 8:01am- <br> 8:15am |  |  |
| 8:16am- <br> 8:30am |  |  |
| 8:31am- |  |  |
| $8: 45 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |
| $8: 46 \mathrm{am}-$ |  |  |
| $9: 00 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |


|  | People on the <br> Sidewalk |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location <br> code: |  |  |
| $9: 01 \mathrm{am}-$ <br> $9: 15 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |
| $9: 16 \mathrm{am}-$ <br> $9: 30 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |
| $9: 31 \mathrm{am}-$ <br> $9: 45 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |
| $9: 46 \mathrm{am}-$ <br> $10: 00 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |
| 10:01am- |  |  |
| $10: 15 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |
| $10: 16 \mathrm{am}-$ |  |  |
| $10: 30 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |
| $10: 31 \mathrm{am}-$ |  |  |
| $10: 45 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |
| $10: 46 \mathrm{am}-$ |  |  |
| $11: 00 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |


|  | People on the <br> Sidewalk |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location <br> code: |  |  |
| $11: 01 \mathrm{am}-$ <br> $11: 15 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |
| $11: 16 \mathrm{am}-$ <br> $11: 30 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |
| $11: 31 \mathrm{am}-$ <br> $11: 45 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |
| $11: 46 \mathrm{am}-$ <br> $12: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |
| $12: 01 \mathrm{pm}-$ |  |  |
| $12: 15 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |
| $12: 16 \mathrm{pm}-$ |  |  |
| $12: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |
| $12: 31 \mathrm{pm}-$ |  |  |
| $12: 45 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |$\quad$| $12: 46 \mathrm{pm}-$ |
| :--- |
| $1: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ |$\quad$|  |
| :--- |



## Appendix B: Count Forms

## B-2 11-Hour Count Forms, Side 2

## Downtown Raleigh Pedestrian Count

|  | People on the Sidewalk |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location <br> code: |  |  |
| $1: 01 \mathrm{pm}-$ <br> $1: 15 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |
| $1: 16 \mathrm{pm}-$ <br> $1: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |
| $1: 31 \mathrm{pm}$ <br> $1: 45 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |
| $1: 46 \mathrm{pm}-$ |  |  |
| $2: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |
| $2: 01 \mathrm{pm}-$ <br> $2: 15 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |
| $2: 16 \mathrm{pm}-$ |  |  |
| $2: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |
| $2: 31 \mathrm{pm}-$ |  |  |
| $2: 45 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |$\quad$|  |
| :--- |
| $2: 46 \mathrm{pm}-$ |
| $3: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ |


|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { People on the } \\ \text { Sidewalk }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location code: |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{l}3: 31 \mathrm{pm}- \\ 3: 45 \mathrm{pm}\end{array}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{l}3: 46 \mathrm{pm}- \\ 4: 00 \mathrm{pm}\end{array}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{l}4: 01 \mathrm{pm}- \\ 4: 15 \mathrm{pm}\end{array}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{l}4: 16 \mathrm{pm}- \\ 4: 30 \mathrm{pm}\end{array}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{l}4: 31 \mathrm{pm}- \\ 4: 45 \mathrm{pm}\end{array}$ |  |  |
| $4: 46 \mathrm{pm}-$ |  |  |
| $5: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |
| $5: 01 \mathrm{pm}-$ |  |  |
| $5: 15 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |$]$

## Appendix B: Count Forms

## B-3 Peak Hour Count Forms

## Downtown Raleigh Pedestrian Count

## STANDARD SCREENLINE COUNT FORM

Name: $\qquad$ Location: $\qquad$

Date: $\qquad$ Start Time: $\qquad$ End Time: $\qquad$

Weather: $\qquad$ FOR SHIFT 7:30AM-9:30AM and 11:30AM-1:30PM

Please fill in your name, count location, date, time period, and weather conditions (fair, rainy, very cold). Count all pedestrians crossing your screen line under the appropriate categories.

- Count in 15 minute increments (use the appropriate time slots for the TIME OF DAY).
- Track counts separately for each side of the street (enter location code at top of column).
- Pedestrians include people in wheelchairs or others using assistive devices, children in strollers, etc.
- Also count cyclists on the sidewalk, but NOT cyclists in the street.
- Count people on segways.

|  | People on the Sidewalk |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location code: | 10919204 | 10919203 |
| 7:30am-7:45am |  |  |
| 7:46am-8:00am |  |  |
| 8:01am-8:15am |  |  |
| 8:16am-8:30am |  |  |
| 8:31am-8:45am |  |  |
| 8:46am-9:00am |  |  |
| 9:01am-9:15am |  |  |
| 9:16am-9:30am |  |  |


|  | People on the Sidewalk |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location code: | 10919204 | 10919203 |
| 11:30am-11:45am |  |  |
| 11:46am-12:00pm |  |  |
| 12:01pm-12:15pm |  |  |
| 12:16pm-12:30pm |  |  |
| 12:31pm-12:45pm |  |  |
| 1:01pm-1:15pm |  |  |

## B-4 Late Night Count Forms

## Downtown Raleigh Pedestrian Count

## STANDARD SCREENLINE COUNT FORM

Name: $\qquad$ Location: $\qquad$

Date: $\qquad$ Start Time: $\qquad$ End Time: $\qquad$
Weather: $\qquad$ FOR SHIFT 8PM-2AM

Please fill in your name, count location, date, time period, and weather conditions (fair, rainy, very cold). Count all pedestrians crossing your screen line under the appropriate categories.

Count in 15 minute increments (use the appropriate time slots for the TIME OF DAY).
Track counts separately for each side of the street (enter location code at top of column).
Pedestrians include people in wheelchairs or others using assistive devices, children in strollers, etc. Also count cyclists on the sidewalk, but NOT cyclists in the street.
Count people on segways.

|  | People on the Sidewalk |
| :---: | :---: |
| Location code: |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:00pm- } \\ & 8: 15 p m \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:16pm- } \\ & \text { 8:30pm } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8: 31 \mathrm{pm}- \\ & 8: 45 \mathrm{pm} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:46pm- } \\ & \text { 9:00pm } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:01pm- } \\ & \text { 9:15pm } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:16pm- } \\ & \text { 9:30pm } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:31pm- } \\ & \text { 9:45pm } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:46pm- } \\ & \text { 10:00pm } \end{aligned}$ |  |


|  | People on the <br> Sidewalk |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location code: |  |  |
| $10: 01 \mathrm{pm}-$ |  |  |
| $10: 15 p m$ |  |  |
| $10: 16 \mathrm{pm}-$ |  |  |
| $10: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |
| $10: 31 \mathrm{pm}-$ |  |  |
| $10: 45 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |
| $10: 46 \mathrm{pm}-$ |  |  |
| $11: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |
| $11: 01 \mathrm{pm}-$ |  |  |
| $11: 15 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |
| $11: 16 \mathrm{pm}-$ |  |  |
| $11: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |
| $11: 31 \mathrm{pm}-$ |  |  |
| $11: 45 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |
| $12: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |


|  | People on the <br> Sidewalk |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| location code: |  |  |
| $12: 01 \mathrm{am}-$ <br> $12: 15 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |
| $12: 16 \mathrm{am}-$ <br> $12: 30 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |
| $12: 31 \mathrm{am}-$ <br> $12: 45 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |
| $12: 46 \mathrm{am}-$ |  |  |
| $1: 00 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |
| $1: 01 \mathrm{am}-$ |  |  |
| $1: 15 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |
| $1: 16 \mathrm{am}-$ |  |  |
| $1: 30 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |
| $1: 31 \mathrm{am}-$ |  |  |
| $1: 45 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |
| $1: 00 \mathrm{am}-$ |  |  |



## Appendix C: Count Tables

## X.x 11-Hour Count Table

## See 11x17 pages

## Appendix C: Count Tables

## X.x Peak Hour Count Table 2

See $11 \times 17$ pages

## Appendix X: Count Tables

## X.x Late Night Count Table

## See 11x17 pages

## Appendix D: Data Tables

D-1 Total Block Counts for 11-Hour Count Locations

## Total Counts for Fayetteville District 11-Hour Locations Highest Block Volume

| Street | Location Code | Total for Location | Total For Block |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fayetteville between Hargett and Martin | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { West: } \\ 10720214 \end{array}$ | 6,820 | 11,903 |
| Fayetteville between Hargett and Martin | East: 10720213 | 5,083 |  |
| Fayetteville between Martin and Davie | West: <br> 10721224 | 6,626 | 9,538 |
| Fayetteville between Martin and Davie | East: 10721223 | 2,912 |  |
| Fayetteville between Morgan and Hargett | West: <br> 10719204 | 4,871 | 6,910 |
| Fayetteville between Morgan and Hargett | East: 10719203 | 2,039 |  |
| City Plaza | West: 10722234 | 1,803 | 4,573 |
| City Plaza | East: 10722233 | 2,770 |  |
| Martin between Fayetteville and Wilmington | North: <br> 12107081 | 1,765 | 4,238 |
| Martin between Fayetteville and Wilmington | South: 12107082 | 2,473 |  |
| Hargett between Fayetteville and Wilmington | North: <br> 12007081 | 1,110 | 3,430 |
| Hargett between Fayetteville and Wilmington | $\begin{aligned} & \text { South: } \\ & 12007082 \end{aligned}$ | 2,320 |  |
| Davie between Fayetteville and Wilmington | North: <br> 12207081 | 1,305 | 3,180 |
| Davie between Fayetteville and Wilmington | South: 12207082 | 1,875 |  |
|  |  |  | 43,772 |

## Appendix D: Data Tables

## D-2 Total Location Counts for 11-Hour Count Locations

| Total Counts for Fayetteville District 11-Hour |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Locations |  |

## Appendix D: Data Tables

## D-3 Average Pedestrians Per Hour for 11 Hour Count Blocks



## Appendix D: Data Tables

D-4 Average Pedestrians Per Hourfor 11-Hour Count Locations

| Street | ns Per Ho -Hour Loc | ur ations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Location Code | Average Ped/Hour |
| Fayetteville between Hargett and Martin | West: 10720214 | 620.00 |
| Fayetteville between Martin and Davie | West: 10721224 | 602.36 |
| Fayetteville between Hargett and Martin | East: 10720213 | 462.09 |
| Fayetteville between Morgan and Hargett | West: 10719204 | 442.82 |
| Fayetteville between Martin and Davie | East: 10721223 | 264.73 |
| City Plaza | East: 10722233 | 251.82 |
| Martin between Fayetteville and Wilmington | South: 12107082 | 224.82 |
| Hargett between Fayetteville and Wilmington | South: 12007082 | 210.91 |
| Fayetteville between Morgan and Hargett | East: 10719203 | 185.36 |
| Davie between Fayetteville and Wilmington | South: 12207082 | 170.45 |
| City Plaza | West: 10722234 | 163.91 |
| Martin between Fayetteville and Wilmington | North: 12107081 | 160.45 |
| Davie between Fayetteville and Wilmington | North: 12207081 | 118.64 |
| Hargett between Fayetteville and Wilmington | North: 12007081 | 100.91 |

## Appendix D: Data Tables

D-5 Peak Moming + Aftemoon Total Counts by Time Period

## Peak Morning Hours Total Counts by Time Period

Start
End
Total Pedestrians

| $7: 31 \mathrm{AM}$ | $7: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1,298 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7: 46 \mathrm{AM}$ | $8: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1,773 |
| $8: 01 \mathrm{AM}$ | $8: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1654 |
| $8: 16 \mathrm{AM}$ | $8: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2044 |
| $8: 31 \mathrm{AM}$ | $8: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2235 |
| $8: 46 \mathrm{AM}$ | $9: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2556 |
| $9: 01 \mathrm{AM}$ | $9: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2362 |
| $9: 16 \mathrm{AM}$ | $9: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2386 |

16,308

## Peak Afternoon Hours Total Counts by Time Period

Start
End
Total Pedestrians

| $11: 31 \mathrm{AM}$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3,643 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $11: 46 \mathrm{AM}$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3,840 |
| $12: 01 \mathrm{PM}$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4560 |
| $12: 16 \mathrm{PM}$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4428 |
| $12: 31 \mathrm{PM}$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4641 |
| $12: 46 \mathrm{PM}$ | $1: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4636 |
| $1: 01 \mathrm{PM}$ | $1: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4246 |
| $1: 16 \mathrm{PM}$ | $1: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3945 |

## Appendix D: Data Tables

D-6 Peak Moming Hours Total by Block

| Peak Morning Hours Tota <br> Block <br> Street | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| Salisbury between Martin and Davie | 1788 |
| Fayetteville between Martin and Davie | 1228 |
| Fayetteville between Morgan and Hargett | 1093 |
| Davie between Wilmington and Blount | 1065 |
| Fayetteville between Hargett and Martin | 1013 |
| City Plaza | 797 |
| Martin between Fayetteville and Wilmington | 797 |
| Davie between McDowell and Salisbury | 762 |
| Hargett between Salisbury and Fayetteville | 714 |
| Wilmington between Hargett and Martin | 709 |
| Martin between Salisbury and Fayetteville | 662 |
| Hargett between Wilmington and Blount | 591 |
| Wilmington between Martin and Davie | 582 |
| Blount between Hargett and Martin | 478 |
| Hargett between Fayetteville and Wilmington | 462 |
| Salisbury between Morgan and Hargett | 446 |
| Martin between Wilmington and Blount | 407 |
| Davie between Fayetteville and Wilmington | 390 |
| Davie between Salisbury and Fayetteville | 362 |
| Hargett between McDowell and Salisbury | 344 |
| Hargett between Blount and Person | 261 |
| Salisbury between Hargett and Martin | 259 |
| Martin between McDowell and Salisbury | 258 |
| Martin between Blount and Person | 166 |
| Davie between Blount and Person | 157 |
| Wilmington between Morgan and Hargett | 126 |
| Blount between Martin and Davie | 109 |
| Blount between Margan and Hargett | 107 |
| McDowell between Morgan and Hargett | 100 |
| McDowell between Hargett and Martin | 75 |

## Appendix D: Data Tables

D-7A Peak Moming Hours Total Counts by Location

Peak Morning Hours Total Counts by Location

| Street | Geocode | Total Pedestrians |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salisbury between Martin and Davie | East: 10621223 | 1285 |
| Fayetteville between Martin and Davie | West: 10721224 | 935 |
| Davie between Wilmington and Blount | South: 12208092 | 885 |
| Fayetteville between Morgan and Hargett | West: 10719204 | 876 |
| Fayetteville between Hargett and Martin | West: 10720214 | 556 |
| City Plaza | East: 10722233 | 554 |
| Martin between Fayetteville and Wilmington | South: 12107082 | 541 |
| Wilmington between Hargett and Martin | East: 10820213 | 515 |
| Salisbury between Martin and Davie | West: 10621224 | 503 |
| Fayetteville between Hargett and Martin | East: 10720213 | 457 |
| Wilmington between Martin and Davie | East: 10821223 | 449 |
| Hargett between Salisbury and Fayetteville | South: 12006072 | 449 |
| Davie between McDowell and Salisbury | North: 12205061 | 441 |
| Martin between Salisbury and Fayetteville | North: 12106071 | 380 |
| Hargett between Wilmington and Blount | South: 12008092 | 376 |
| Davie between McDowell and Salisbury | South: 12205062 | 321 |
| Blount between Hargett and Martin | West: 10920214 | 309 |
| Hargett between Fayetteville and Wilmington | South: 12007082 | 305 |
| Fayetteville between Martin and Davie | East: 10721223 | 293 |
| Martin between Salisbury and Fayetteville | South: 12106072 | 282 |
| Hargett between Salisbury and Fayetteville | North: 12006071 | 265 |
| Martin between Wilmington and Blount | South: 12108092 | 263 |
| Martin between Fayetteville and Wilmington | North: 12107081 | 256 |
| Davie between Fayetteville and Wilmington | South: 12207082 | 253 |
| Salisbury between Morgan and Hargett | East: 10619203 | 248 |
| City Plaza | West: 10722234 | 243 |
| Fayetteville between Morgan and Hargett | East: 10719203 | 217 |
| Hargett between Wilmington and Blount | North: 12008091 | 215 |
| Salisbury between Morgan and Hargett | West: 10619204 | 198 |
| Wilmington between Hargett and Martin | West: 10820214 | 194 |
| Salisbury between Hargett and Martin | East: 10620213 | 191 |
| Hargett between McDowell and Salisbury | North: 12005061 | 188 |

## Appendix D: Data Tables

## D-7B Peak Moming Hours Total Counts by Location

| Street | Counts by | Total Pedestrians |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Geocode |  |
| Davie between Salisbury and Fayetteville | North: 12206071 | 188 |
| Hargett between Blount and Person | North: 12009101 | 181 |
| Davie between Wilmington and Blount | North: 12208091 | 180 |
| Davie between Salisbury and Fayetteville | South: 12206072 | 174 |
| Blount between Hargett and Martin | East: 10920213 | 169 |
| Hargett between Fayetteville and Wilmington | North: 12007081 | 157 |
| Hargett between McDowell and Salisbury | South: 12005062 | 156 |
| Martin between McDowell and Salisbury | North: 12105061 | 155 |
| Martin between Wilmington and Blount | North: 12108091 | 144 |
| Davie between Fayetteville and Wilmington | North: 12207081 | 137 |
| Wilmington between Martin and Davie | West: 10821224 | 133 |
| Martin between McDowell and Salisbury | South: 12105062 | 103 |
| Martin between Blount and Person | South: 12109102 | 92 |
| Davie between Blount and Person | North: 12209101 | 90 |
| McDowell between Morgan and Hargett | West: 10519204 | 85 |
| Hargett between Blount and Person | South: 12009102 | 80 |
| Martin between Blount and Person | North: 12109101 | 74 |
| Wilmington between Morgan and Hargett | West: 10819204 | 72 |
| Salisbury between Hargett and Martin | West: 10620214 | 68 |
| Davie between Blount and Person | South: 12209102 | 67 |
| Blount between Martin and Davie | West: 10921224 | 63 |
| Blount between Margan and Hargett | East: 10919203 | 55 |
| Wilmington between Morgan and Hargett | East: 10819203 | 54 |
| Blount between Margan and Hargett | West: 10919204 | 52 |
| McDowell between Hargett and Martin | West: 10520214 | 49 |
| Blount between Martin and Davie | East: 10921223 | 46 |
| McDowell between Hargett and Martin | East: 10520213 | 26 |
| McDowell between Morgan and Hargett | East: 10519203 | 15 |

## Appendix D: Data Tables

D-8 Peak Aftemoon Hours Total Counts by Block

| Peak Afternoon Hours Total Counts by |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Block |  |
| Street | Total |
| Fayetteville between Hargett and Martin | 4905 |
| Fayetteville between Martin and Davie | 3042 |
| Hargett between Salisbury and Fayetteville | 2676 |
| Fayetteville between Morgan and Hargett | 2118 |
| Davie between Wilmington and Blount | 1839 |
| Salisbury between Martin and Davie | 1584 |
| City Plaza | 1461 |
| Hargett between Wilmington and Blount | 1236 |
| Martin between Fayetteville and Wilmington | 1228 |
| Wilmington between Hargett and Martin | 1211 |
| Martin between Salisbury and Fayetteville | 1181 |
| Davie between Fayetteville and Wilmington | 1139 |
| Blount between Hargett and Martin | 1104 |
| Salisbury between Morgan and Hargett | 1053 |
| Wilmington between Martin and Davie | 1046 |
| Hargett between Fayetteville and Wilmington | 944 |
| Hargett between Blount and Person | 761 |
| Wilmington between Morgan and Hargett | 686 |
| Martin between Wilmington and Blount | 621 |
| Hargett between McDowell and Salisbury | 614 |
| Davie between Salisbury and Fayetteville | 598 |
| Blount between Martin and Davie | 556 |
| Martin between Blount and Person | 498 |
| Davie between McDowell and Salisbury | 472 |
| Salisbury between Hargett and Martin | 343 |
| Davie between Blount and Person | 258 |
| Blount between Margan and Hargett | 255 |
| Martin between McDowell and Salisbury | 252 |
| McDowell between Morgan and Hargett | 152 |
| McDowell between Hargett and Martin | 106 |
|  | 33939 |

## Appendix D: Data Tables

## D-9A Peak Aftemoon Hours Total Counts by Location

| Peak Afternoon Hours Total Counts by Location |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street | Geocode | Total Pedestrians |
| Fayetteville between Hargett and Martin | West: 10720214 | 2963 |
| Fayetteville between Hargett and Martin | East: 10720213 | 1942 |
| Fayetteville between Martin and Davie | West: 10721224 | 1796 |
| Davie between Wilmington and Blount | South: 12208092 | 1503 |
| Fayetteville between Morgan and Hargett | West: 10719204 | 1459 |
| Hargett between Salisbury and Fayetteville | South: 12006072 | 1419 |
| Hargett between Salisbury and Fayetteville | North: 12006071 | 1257 |
| Fayetteville between Martin and Davie | East: 10721223 | 1246 |
| Salisbury between Martin and Davie | East: 10621223 | 899 |
| City Plaza | East: 10722233 | 840 |
| Hargett between Wilmington and Blount | South: 12008092 | 769 |
| Hargett between Blount and Person | North: 12009101 | 688 |
| Salisbury between Martin and Davie | West: 10621224 | 685 |
| Martin between Fayetteville and Wilmington | South: 12107082 | 670 |
| Blount between Hargett and Martin | West: 10920214 | 670 |
| Fayetteville between Morgan and Hargett | East: 10719203 | 659 |
| Wilmington between Hargett and Martin | West: 10820214 | 623 |
| City Plaza | West: 10722234 | 621 |
| Martin between Salisbury and Fayetteville | South: 12106072 | 595 |
| Wilmington between Martin and Davie | West: 10821224 | 594 |
| Davie between Fayetteville and Wilmington | South: 12207082 | 588 |
| Wilmington between Hargett and Martin | East: 10820213 | 588 |
| Martin between Salisbury and Fayetteville | North: 12106071 | 586 |
| Hargett between Fayetteville and Wilmington | South: 12007082 | 584 |
| Salisbury between Morgan and Hargett | East: 10619203 | 577 |
| Martin between Fayetteville and Wilmington | North: 12107081 | 558 |
| Davie between Fayetteville and Wilmington | North: 12207081 | 551 |
| Salisbury between Morgan and Hargett | West: 10619204 | 476 |
| Hargett between Wilmington and Blount | North: 12008091 | 467 |
| Wilmington between Morgan and Hargett | West: 10819204 | 458 |
| Wilmington between Martin and Davie | East: 10821223 | 452 |
| Blount between Hargett and Martin | East: 10920213 | 434 |

## Appendix D: Data Tables

D-9B Peak Aftemoon Hours Total Counts by Location

| Peak Morning Hours Total Counts by Location (continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street | Geocode | Total Pedestrians |
| Hargett between Fayetteville and Wilmington | North: 12007081 | 360 |
| Blount between Martin and Davie | East: 10921223 | 356 |
| Martin between Wilmington and Blount | South: 12108092 | 346 |
| Davie between Wilmington and Blount | North: 12208091 | 336 |
| Davie between Salisbury and Fayetteville | North: 12206071 | 313 |
| Hargett between McDowell and Salisbury | South: 12005062 | 308 |
| Hargett between McDowell and Salisbury | North: 12005061 | 306 |
| Davie between Salisbury and Fayetteville | South: 12206072 | 285 |
| Martin between Wilmington and Blount | North: 12108091 | 275 |
| Davie between McDowell and Salisbury | South: 12205062 | 252 |
| Martin between Blount and Person | North: 12109101 | 251 |
| Martin between Blount and Person | South: 12109102 | 247 |
| Salisbury between Hargett and Martin | East: 10620213 | 230 |
| Wilmington between Morgan and Hargett | East: 10819203 | 228 |
| Davie between McDowell and Salisbury | North: 12205061 | 220 |
| Davie between Blount and Person | North: 12209101 | 209 |
| Blount between Martin and Davie | West: 10921224 | 200 |
| Martin between McDowell and Salisbury | North: 12105061 | 161 |
| Blount between Margan and Hargett | West: 10919204 | 145 |
| Salisbury between Hargett and Martin | West: 10620214 | 113 |
| Blount between Margan and Hargett | East: 10919203 | 110 |
| Martin between McDowell and Salisbury | South: 12105062 | 91 |
| McDowell between Morgan and Hargett | West: 10519204 | 90 |
| Hargett between Blount and Person | South: 12009102 | 73 |
| McDowell between Hargett and Martin | West: 10520214 | 63 |
| McDowell between Morgan and Hargett | East: 10519203 | 62 |
| Davie between Blount and Person | South: 12209102 | 49 |
| McDowell between Hargett and Martin | East: 10520213 | 43 |

## Appendix D: Data Tables

## D-10 Peak Moming Counts Pedestrians Per Hour By Block

| Pedestrians P <br> Peak Mo <br> Street | Pedestrians Per Hour |
| :---: | :---: |
| Salisbury between Martin and Davie | 447 |
| Fayetteville between Martin and Davie | 307 |
| Fayetteville between Morgan and Hargett | 273.25 |
| Davie between Wilmington and Blount | 266.25 |
| Fayetteville between Hargett and Martin | 253.25 |
| City Plaza | 199.25 |
| Martin between Fayetteville and Wilmington | 199.25 |
| Davie between McDowell and Salisbury | 190.5 |
| Hargett between Salisbury and Fayetteville | 178.5 |
| Wilmington between Hargett and Martin | 177.25 |
| Martin between Salisbury and Fayetteville | 165.5 |
| Hargett between Wilmington and Blount | 147.75 |
| Wilmington between Martin and Davie | 145.5 |
| Blount between Hargett and Martin | 119.5 |
| Hargett between Fayetteville and Wilmington | 115.5 |
| Salisbury between Morgan and Hargett | 111.5 |
| Martin between Wilmington and Blount | 101.75 |
| Davie between Fayetteville and Wilmington | 97.5 |
| Davie between Salisbury and Fayetteville | 90.5 |
| Hargett between McDowell and Salisbury | 86 |
| Hargett between Blount and Person | 65.25 |
| Salisbury between Hargett and Martin | 64.75 |
| Martin between McDowell and Salisbury | 64.5 |
| Martin between Blount and Person | 41.5 |
| Davie between Blount and Person | 39.25 |
| Wilmington between Morgan and Hargett | 31.5 |
| Blount between Martin and Davie | 27.25 |
| Blount between Margan and Hargett | 26.75 |
| McDowell between Morgan and Hargett | 25 |
| McDowell between Hargett and Martin | 18.75 |

## Appendix D: Data Tables

D-11 Peak Aftemoon Counts Pedestrians Per Hour By Block

| Pedestrians Per Hour By Block |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Street | Pedestrians Per Hour |
| Fayetteville between Hargett and Martin | 1226.25 |
| Fayetteville between Martin and Davie | 760.5 |
| Hargett between Salisbury and Fayetteville | 669 |
| Fayetteville between Morgan and Hargett | 529.5 |
| Davie between Wilmington and Blount | 459.75 |
| Salisbury between Martin and Davie | 396 |
| City Plaza | 365.25 |
| Hargett between Wilmington and Blount | 309 |
| Martin between Fayetteville and Wilmington | 307 |
| Wilmington between Hargett and Martin | 302.75 |
| Martin between Salisbury and Fayetteville | 295.25 |
| Davie between Fayetteville and Wilmington | 284.75 |
| Blount between Hargett and Martin | 276 |
| Salisbury between Morgan and Hargett | 263.25 |
| Wilmington between Martin and Davie | 261.5 |
| Hargett between Fayetteville and Wilmington | 236 |
| Hargett between Blount and Person | 190.25 |
| Wilmington between Morgan and Hargett | 171.5 |
| Martin between Wilmington and Blount | 155.25 |
| Hargett between McDowell and Salisbury | 153.5 |
| Davie between Salisbury and Fayetteville | 149.5 |
| Blount between Martin and Davie | 139 |
| Martin between Blount and Person | 124.5 |
| Davie between McDowell and Salisbury | 118 |
| Salisbury between Hargett and Martin | 85.75 |
| Davie between Blount and Person | 64.5 |
| Blount between Margan and Hargett | 63.75 |
| Martin between McDowell and Salisbury | 63 |
| McDowell between Morgan and Hargett | 38 |
| McDowell between Hargett and Martin | 26.5 |

## Appendix D: Data Tables

## D-12A Average Pedestrians Per Hour By Location/ Moming
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## Appendix D: Data Tables

## D-13A Average Pedestrians Per Hour By Location/ Aftemoon

| Average Pedestrians Per Hour By Location |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fayetteville between Hargett and Martin | West: 10720214 | 1481.5 |
| Fayetteville between Hargett and Martin | East: 10720213 | 971 |
| Fayetteville between Martin and Davie | West: 10721224 | 898 |
| Davie between Wilmington and Blount | South: 12208092 | 751.5 |
| Fayetteville between Morgan and Hargett | West: 10719204 | 729.5 |
| Hargett between Salisbury and Fayetteville | South: 12006072 | 709.5 |
| Hargett between Salisbury and Fayetteville | North: 12006071 | 628.5 |
| Fayetteville between Martin and Davie | East: 10721223 | 623 |
| Salisbury between Martin and Davie | East: 10621223 | 449.5 |
| City Plaza | East: 10722233 | 420 |
| Hargett between Wilmington and Blount | South: 12008092 | 384.5 |
| Hargett between Blount and Person | North: 12009101 | 344 |
| Salisbury between Martin and Davie | West: 10621224 | 342.5 |
| Martin between Fayetteville and Wilmington | South: 12107082 | 335 |
| Blount between Hargett and Martin | West: 10920214 | 335 |
| Fayetteville between Morgan and Hargett | East: 10719203 | 329.5 |
| Wilmington between Hargett and Martin | West: 10820214 | 311.5 |
| City Plaza | West: 10722234 | 310.5 |
| Martin between Salisbury and Fayetteville | South: 12106072 | 297.5 |
| Wilmington between Martin and Davie | West: 10821224 | 297 |
| Davie between Fayetteville and Wilmington | South: 12207082 | 294 |
| Wilmington between Hargett and Martin | East: 10820213 | 294 |
| Martin between Salisbury and Fayetteville | North: 12106071 | 293 |
| Hargett between Fayetteville and Wilmington | South: 12007082 | 292 |
| Salisbury between Morgan and Hargett | East: 10619203 | 288.5 |
| Martin between Fayetteville and Wilmington | North: 12107081 | 279 |
| Davie between Fayetteville and Wilmington | North: 12207081 | 275.5 |
| Salisbury between Morgan and Hargett | West: 10619204 | 238 |
| Hargett between Wilmington and Blount | North: 12008091 | 233.5 |
| Wilmington between Morgan and Hargett | West: 10819204 | 229 |
| Wilmington between Martin and Davie | East: 10821223 | 226 |
| Blount between Hargett and Martin | East: 10920213 | 217 |
| Hargett between Fayetteville and Wilmington | North: 12007081 | 180 |

## Appendix D: Data Tables

## D-13B Average Pedestrians Per Hour By Location/ Aftemoon

| Average Pedestrians Per Hour By Location Peak Afternoon Counts <br> Street <br> Geocode <br> Pedestrians Per Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blount between Martin and Davie | East: 10921223 | 178 |
| Martin between Wilmington and Blount | South: 12108092 | 173 |
| Davie between Wilmington and Blount | North: 12208091 | 168 |
| Davie between Salisbury and Fayetteville | North: 12206071 | 156.5 |
| Hargett between McDowell and Salisbury | South: 12005062 | 154 |
| Hargett between McDowell and Salisbury | North: 12005061 | 153 |
| Davie between Salisbury and Fayetteville | South: 12206072 | 142.5 |
| Martin between Wilmington and Blount | North: 12108091 | 137.5 |
| Davie between McDowell and Salisbury | South: 12205062 | 126 |
| Martin between Blount and Person | North: 12109101 | 125.5 |
| Martin between Blount and Person | South: 12109102 | 123.5 |
| Salisbury between Hargett and Martin | East: 10620213 | 115 |
| Wilmington between Morgan and Hargett | East: 10819203 | 114 |
| Davie between McDowell and Salisbury | North: 12205061 | 110 |
| Davie between Blount and Person | South: 12209102 | 104.5 |
| Blount between Martin and Davie | West: 10921224 | 100 |
| Martin between McDowell and Salisbury | North: 12105061 | 80.5 |
| Blount between Margan and Hargett | West: 10919204 | 72.5 |
| Salisbury between Hargett and Martin | West: 10620214 | 56.5 |
| Blount between Margan and Hargett | East: 10919203 | 55 |
| Martin between McDowell and Salisbury | South: 12105062 | 45.5 |
| McDowell between Morgan and Hargett | West: 10519204 | 45 |
| Hargett between Blount and Person | South: 12009102 | 36.5 |
| McDowell between Hargett and Martin | West: 10520214 | 31.5 |
| McDowell between Morgan and Hargett | East: 10519203 | 31 |
| Davie between Blount and Person | North: 12209101 | 24.5 |
| McDowell between Hargett and Martin | East: 10520213 | 21.5 |

## Appendix D: Data Tables

## D-14 Total Counts for Warehouse District By Block

| Tot | Counts for Highest | rehouse District Volume | ct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street | Location Code | Total for Location | Total For Block |
| Davie Street at Commerce | North: 22203041 | 1,359 |  |
| Davie Street at Commerce | South: 22203042 | 718 |  |
| Martin Street at Commerce | North: 22103041 | 231 |  |
| Martin Street at Commerce | South: 22103042 | 346 |  |

## Appendix D: Data Tables

D-15 Total Counts for Glenwood South District By Block

| Total Counts for Glenwood South District |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Glenwood b/t Tucker and North | West: 30113144 | 2,199 | 4858 |
| Glenwood b/t Tucker and North | East: 30113143 | 2,659 |  |
| Glenwood b/t Lane and Jones | West: 30115164 | 1,378 | 4,547 |
| Glenwood b/t Lane and Jones | East: 30115163 | 3,169 |  |
| Glenwood b/t Johnson and Tucker | West: 30112134 | 2,250 | , 184 |
| Glenwood b/t Johnson and Tucker | East: 30112133 | 934 |  |
| Jones b/t Glenwood and West | North: 31601021 | 786 | 2,464 |
| Jones b/t Glenwood and West | South: 31601022 | 1,678 |  |
| Glenwood b/t North and Lane | West: 30114154 | 1,226 | 2,361 |
| Glenwood b/t North and Lane | East: 30114153 | 1,135 |  |
|  |  |  | 17,414 |

## Appendix D: Data Tables

## D-16 Average Pedestrians Per Hour All Late Night Blocks

| Street | Average Pedestrians Per Hour All Late Night Count Blocks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Location Code | Average for Location | Average for Block |
| Glenwood b/t Tucker and North | West: 30113144 | 366.50 | 404.83 |
| Glenwood b/t Tucker and North | East: 30113143 | 443.17 |  |
| Glenwood b/t Lane and Jones | West: 30115164 | 229.67 | 378.92 |
| Glenwood b/t Lane and Jones | East: 30115163 | 528.17 |  |
| Glenwood b/t Johnson and Tucker | West: 30112134 | 375.00 | 265.33 |
| Glenwood b/t Johnson and Tucker | East: 30112133 | 155.67 |  |
| Jones b/t Glenwood and West | North: 31601021 | 131.00 | 205.33 |
| Jones b/t Glenwood and West | South: 31601022 | 279.67 |  |
| Glenwood b/t North and Lane | West: 30114154 | 204.33 | 196.75 |
| Glenwood b/t North and Lane | East: 30114153 | 189.17 |  |
| Davie Street at Commerce | North: 22203041 | 226.50 | 173.08 |
| Davie Street at Commerce | South: 22203042 | 119.67 |  |
| Martin Street at Commerce | North: 22103041 | 38.50 | 48.08 |
| Martin Street at Commerce | South: 22103042 | 57.67 |  |

## Appendix D: Data Tables

## D-17 Average Pedestrians Per Hour All Late Night Locations

| Street | edestrians P <br> ght Count | our ons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Location Code | Average for Location |
| Glenwood b/t Lane and Jones | East: 30115163 | 528.17 |
| Glenwood b/t Tucker and North | East: 30113143 | 443.17 |
| Glenwood b/t Johnson and Tucker | West: 30112134 | 375.00 |
| Glenwood b/t Tucker and North | West: 30113144 | 366.50 |
| Jones b/t Glenwood and West | South: 31601022 | 279.67 |
| Glenwood b/t Lane and Jones | West: 30115164 | 229.67 |
| Davie Street at Commerce | North: 22203041 | 226.50 |
| Glenwood b/t North and Lane | West: 30114154 | 204.33 |
| Glenwood b/t North and Lane | East: 30114153 | 189.17 |
| Glenwood b/t Johnson and Tucker | East: 30112133 | 155.67 |
| Jones b/t Glenwood and West | North: 31601021 | 131.00 |
| Davie Street at Commerce | South: 22203042 | 119.67 |
| Martin Street at Commerce | South: 22103042 | 57.67 |
| Martin Street at Commerce | North: 22103041 | 38.50 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ (Partnership for Sustainable Communities, 2011)
    ${ }^{2}$ (United States Census Bureau, 2010)
    ${ }^{3}$ (The City of Raleigh, 2009)

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ (The City of Raleigh, 2009)
    ${ }^{5}$ (Downtown Raleigh Alliance, 2010)
    ${ }^{6}$ (Downtown Raleigh Alliance, 2010)

[^2]:    http://www.godowntownraleigh.com/

[^3]:    ^In constrained locations, the full sidewalk width should be provided, with a reduced-width planting strip/buffer
    *Short sidewalk segments can have na rower widths in physic ally constra ined a reas.

